

Governor Brian Sandoval  
*Chairman*

James R. Wells, CPA  
*Clerk of the Board*



Attorney General Adam Paul Laxalt  
*Member*

Secretary of State Barbara K. Cegavske  
*Member*

## STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF EXAMINERS

209 E. Musser Street, Room 200 / Carson City, NV 89701-4298  
Phone: (775) 684-0222 / Fax: (775) 684-0260  
<http://budget.nv.gov/Meetings>

### MINUTES

**Date and Time:**

February 13, 2018, 10:00 AM

**Location:**

Old Assembly Chambers of the Capitol Building  
101 N. Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701

**Video Conference Location:**

Grant Sawyer Building  
555 E. Washington Avenue, Ste. 5100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Governor Brian Sandoval  
Attorney General Adam Paul Laxalt – Present in Las Vegas  
Secretary of State Barbara Cegavske  
James Wells, Clerk

**OTHERS PRESENT:**

Reid Kaiser, Assistant Director of Operations, Department of Transportation  
Robert Halstead, Executive Director, Agency for Nuclear Projects  
Nick Trutanich, Chief of Staff, Attorney General's Office  
Chris Chimits, Interim Administrator, State Public Works Division  
Kelly Wooldridge, Administrator, Division of Child and Family Services

## 1. Call to Order / Roll Call

**Governor:** Good morning ladies and gentlemen. I will call the Board of Examiners Meeting to order. All Members are present. Mr. Attorney General, can you hear us loud and clear in Las Vegas?

**Attorney General:** Yes Governor, thank you.

**Governor:** Thank you.

## 2. Public Comment ( No action may be taken upon a matter raised under public comment period unless the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an action item)

**Governor:** We will move to agenda item number 2, Public Comment. Is there any member of the public present in Carson City that would like to provide public comment to the Board? I hear and see none. Is there anyone present in Las Vegas that would like to provide public comment to the Board?

**Attorney General:** No Governor.

**Governor:** All right, thank you very much.

## 3. Approval of the January 9, 2018 Minutes (For possible action)

**Governor:** We will move to agenda item number 3, approval of the January 9, 2018 meeting minutes. Have the Members had an opportunity to review the minutes and are there any changes? If not, the Chair will accept a motion for approval.

**Secretary of State:** Move for approval, Governor.

**Governor:** The Secretary of State has moved for approval. Is there a second?

**Attorney General:** I second.

**Governor:** Seconded by the Attorney General. Are there any questions or discussion on the motion? I hear and see none. That motion passes 3-0.

#### 4. Request to Purchase State Vehicles (For possible action)

Pursuant to NRS 334.010, no automobile may be purchased by any department, office, bureau, officer or employee of the state without prior written consent of the State Board of Examiners.

AGENCY NAME	# OF VEHICLES	NOT TO EXCEED:
Department of Agriculture – Commodity Foods Distribution Program	1	\$26,996
Department of Agriculture – Consumer Equitability	2	\$67,395
Department of Conservation and Natural Resources – Division of Environmental Protection Bureau of Corrective Actions	1	\$30,777
<b>Total</b>	4	\$125,168

**Governor:** We will move to agenda item number 4, Request to Purchase State Vehicles. Good morning, Mr. Wells.

**Clerk:** Good morning, Governor and Members of the Board. There are three requests for four vehicles in this agenda item.

The first and second requests are from the Department of Agriculture to purchase one replacement vehicle for the Commodity Food Program and two replacement vehicles for the Consumer Equitability Program that have met the age and/or mileage requirements in State Administrative Manual (SAM).

The third request is from the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division to purchase one replacement vehicle for the Bureau of Corrective Actions that has met the age and/or mileage requirements in SAM.

All of these vehicles were included in the agency's legislatively approved budgets.

There are representatives available to answer any questions the Board Members may have.

**Governor:** Thank you Mr. Wells. I have no questions. Board Members, are there any questions? If there are none, the Chair will accept a motion for approval.

**Secretary of State:** Move for approval.

**Governor:** The Secretary of State has moved to approve the Request to Purchase State Vehicles as presented in agenda item number 4. Is there a second?

**Attorney General:** I second.

**Governor:** Seconded by the Attorney General. Are there any questions or discussion on the motion? I hear and see none. That motion passes 3-0.

**5. Authorization to Contract With a Current and/or Former State Employee** (For possible action)

- A. Department of Transportation** - Pursuant to NRS 333.705, subsection 1, the Department requests authority to contract with a former employee, Gary Boggs. Diversified Consulting Services is proposing to engage Mr. Boggs to fill an Inspector Level IV position in the augmentation of the Department of Transportation construction crews in District III on as as-needed basis.
- B. Department of Transportation** - Pursuant to NRS 333.705, subsection 1, the Department requests authority to contract with a former employee, Mark Dory. Diversified Consulting Services is proposing to engage Mr. Dory to fill an Inspector Level IV position in the augmentation of the Department of Transportation construction crews in District III on as as-needed basis.
- C. Department of Transportation** - Pursuant to NRS 333.705, subsection 1, the Department requests authority to contract with a former employee, Thomas Stevenson. Diversified Consulting Services is proposing to engage Mr. Stevenson to fill a senior inspector position for contract #3624, Project NEON.

**Governor:** We will move to agenda item number 5, Authorization to Contract with a Current and/or Former State Employee. Mr. Wells, please proceed.

**Clerk:** Thank you Governor. Item 5 includes three requests from the Department of Transportation to contract with current and/or former employees pursuant to NRS 333.705, Subsection 1.

The three requests are to allow a contracted vendor, Diversified Consulting Services, to use former employees on projects awarded to the vendor. The first and second requests are for inspector level 4 positions to augment construction crews in District 3. The first employee retired in March of 2017 and the second in January 2017. The third is for a senior inspector to augment construction crews for Project NEON. This employee retired in January of 2018. The former employees did not have any influence or authority over the contracts with the vendor.

There are representatives from the Department of Transportation available to answer any questions for the Members.

**Governor:** Thank you. I do have one question, Mr. Kaiser, if you could come forward please. I wanted to make a record on the proximity from the retiree going on to the vendor. Do you have any comment in that regard?

**Reid Kaiser:** The reason the Consultant hired this person is, this individual will help with this next stage when they start in March to help the Consultant with the inspection services. So, he will not be doing anything other than helping out with the night work when the Consultant is out there inspecting the Contractor's operations.

**Governor:** And this is exclusive to Project NEON?

**Reid Kaiser:** Exclusive to Project NEON, yes.

**Governor:** Questions from other Board Members? If there are no further questions, the Chair will accept a motion to approve the Authorization to Contract with a Current and/or Former State Employee as presented in agenda item number 5.

**Attorney General:** Move to approve.

**Governor:** The Attorney General has moved for approval, is there a second?

**Secretary of State:** I second.

**Governor:** Seconded by the Secretary of State. Are there any questions or discussion on the motion? I hear none and see none. That motion passes 3-0.

## **6. Approval of Proposed Contracts (For possible action)**

**Governor:** We will move to agenda item number 6, approval of proposed contracts. Mr. Wells.

**Clerk:** Thank you Governor. There are 34 contracts in agenda item 6 for approval by the Board this morning. Members have requested additional information on the following: Contract #2 between the Office of the Attorney General and Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence, PLLC. Contract #7 between the between the Department of Administration, State Public Works Division and Core Construction Services of Nevada. Contract #14 between the Department of Administration, State Public Works Division and Gallagher & Associates, LLC. Contract #23 between the Department of Health & Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services and the National Council of Juvenile & Family Court Judges.

**Governor:** Thank you Mr. Wells. Let us start with Contract #2. I had asked for this to be pulled for discussion. It is particularly timely given the budget and its inclusion of, I believe, \$150 million for Yucca Mountain. Particularly curious as to where we are and where we are going. Please proceed.

**Robert Halstead:** Good morning Governor, Secretary of State and Attorney General. For the record Robert Halstead, Executive Director for Nuclear Projects. The budget request is actually larger this year than it was last year. It is \$120 million for the Department of Energy and now \$48 million for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

The situation with Yucca Mountain has not changed. The site is unsafe. The Department of Energy's Repository Engineering Plant does not make it safe and the Transportation Plan is unacceptable and unsafe. We are continuing primarily to oppose the Yucca Mountain Project through the licensing proceeding, before the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission. That is why this contract is so important.

You know in our business, we apply percentages to everything and about 70% of our resources and our fight are focused on the licensing proceeding. About 15% is focused on litigation. I think Mr. Trutanich is going to talk about some of the people in the AG's Office that are helping with that. And then we are also working with our Congressional Delegation on Legislative issues in Washington, particularly on the appropriations to oppose them, opposing the Shimkus Bill. That, in my opinion, would hurt Nevada too.

We are working on some positive legislation to implement the recommendations of the Blue-Ribbon Commission, to extend consent in Nevada. That is what the situation is. We are happy to answer any questions about the contract. I think Mr. Trutanich would like to say a couple of things about the AG's Team.

**Nick Trutanich:** Good morning Governor Sandoval, Secretary of State Cegavske, and Attorney General Laxalt; Nick Trutanich on behalf of the Attorney General's Office. First and foremost, I wanted to stress that the Attorney General's Office continues to be committed to a fight against a nuclear repository at Yucca Mountain.

The AG's Office has made an internal team more robust than ever before, including adding resources from our Solicitor General's Office. Before describing the contract in more detail and answering questions that this Board might have, I wanted to personally complement the internal team of lawyers that are committed to this fight, including Belinda Suwe, Wayne Howle and Jordan Smith.

I also wanted to say that although this is technically a new contract with Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence, it is essentially a continuation of a contractual relationship with our special counsel, our outside counsel, which we have had since 2001. It stems from an appropriation to our Office by the 2017 Legislature. The AG's Office was appropriated \$3.4 million for outside counsel and the Agency for Nuclear Projects was appropriated approximately \$1.7 million. This three-party contract basically memorializes and gives us authority to spend those appropriations.

With that, I would take any questions, along with Mr. Halstead.

**Governor:** All right, thank you. With regard to the budget, that was something I put in the budget in 2017. I do appreciate the Legislature agreeing and doing that. I guess what I would add, Mr. Halstead is that its déjà vu all over again. This is a conversation that I have engaged in and an activity that I have engaged in since my time in the Legislature in the 90s and since my time as the Attorney General. Marta Adams is here, somebody that has spent, essentially a career fighting Yucca Mountain. We are blessed to have her assistance as well.

I still recall in 2003, appearing before the DC Court of Appeals on this issue. You know, I agree with you, Mr. Trutanich, in terms of having to maintain the vigor, the veracity and the tenacity with regard to fighting any effort to store high-level nuclear waste at Yucca Mountain. So, I appreciate the Attorney General's efforts and commitment to do that.

I guess this leads to my first question, Mr. Halstead, this is essentially the same amount that was put in the budget two years ago, yet we did not see much activity or the expenditure of those funds or perhaps we did? We really did not see anything move out of the site. Will you catch us up on what they got for the \$150 million two years ago and where you see this money going now?

**Robert Halstead:** Well, first of all, they have not received any new money. Certainly, I credit our Congressional Delegation and our two US Senators with opposing the request. Also, the request is one that, at a time when budget numbers count, the Congressional Budget Office scores up Yucca Mountain at \$4 – 5 billion over the next 10 years. I think it is causing the appropriators in both Houses of Congress to think hard about whether they want to throw another \$82 billion into this project. I think actually, it will be much more expensive but that is the Department of Energy number.

The Department of Energy has been operating on carryover funds. They have between \$10 – 20 million. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission started out a couple of years ago with about \$10 million. They have spent their money down. This is in carrying out the 2013 writ of mandamus issue by the DC Circuit to force a restart. We had a peculiar situation where the Nuclear Regulatory Commission did quite a bit of work in December. We are getting ready to have a meeting with them at the end of February about the licensing support network and the monthly bill came in at \$9,999 which is a remarkably efficient use of resources and tells us the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is trying to push this forward, almost on their own.

You did meet with Secretary Perry. After your meeting last March, we had a meeting and you gave us our marching orders again and we have been redoubling our efforts, in addition to the licensing proceeding, looking in particular two of the lawsuits, one in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit and one in the DC Circuit, plus we have intervened in the Texas Case in the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit and we have been doing a lot of legislative analysis in support of our delegation. The Department of Energy has not revealed what they have been doing.

In fact, our two Senators are getting ready to send a letter to the Department of Energy saying, well how much did you spend in FY 2017 of your carryover money and what are you doing now? They are simply not saying anything in public about what they are doing.

**Governor:** I do not want to be redundant but if anybody is listening, we are not giving up. We are going to continue to fight and we are going to put the resources forward to maintain the ability to continue to fight on a face-to-face basis and meet every type of effort to try and license this facility with equal measure. I am as committed today as I was 23 years ago and I know that our Attorney General is as well. I am not going to speak for him, I am sure he has a couple of comments that he wants to make. I appreciate your efforts and you also spending essentially a career on this. That is a depth of devotion that you do not see too often and it is on behalf of the people of this Great State and it is to stop a defective project that we know should not proceed. And frankly, is an immense waste of taxpayer money. We are going to keep fighting. I have said it before and I will say it again.

Last comment, I see that you brought a Time Magazine with you Mr. Halstead, and I am aware of the contents of that story. It is an unsourced story. I have had conversations with the Administration regarding that but if you want to make any comments on that feel free to do so.

**Robert Halstead:** I will just make a couple Governor, thank you for the opportunity. This Time Magazine is something of a collector's item now. I personally feel, while I understand the journalism business, the article discusses the possible resumption of underground testing. So of course, to sell magazines, the cover shows a 1952 Atmospheric Test. Most Nevadans understand that that was a time that put unacceptable impacts on Southern Nevada and Utah, especially in the downwinder areas.

The actual information in the article simply reports what most of us have been hearing for the last 10 months or so, that there is a policy review, a consideration of whether new nuclear weapons development should proceed. If they proceed, is testing necessary. Then the old question that for 25 years we have answered is that, testing is not necessary to verify the capability of the existing nuclear arsenal. That is a job that the National Nuclear Security Administration people that operate at the Nevada National Security Site carry out with great integrity and great technical expertise. I had an opportunity to talk with some of them last week in fact.

So, what the article reports that was of great concern was a sentence that said that this testing might be resumed for "political purposes". To our knowledge, no resumption of testing has been ordered. I have done a close review of the Nuclear Posture Review that came out the day after the article and it certainly does show some changes. It begins with an opening statement on this topic that past assumptions have proven mistaken about the need for testing and so forth. In the end, what the Nuclear Posture Review says, "the United States will not seek Senate ratification of the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty but will continue to observe a nuclear test moratorium that began in 1992".

I think we are going to have to look very carefully at the bipartisan budget act that passed last week because there is some defense spending provisions. We are certainly going to look closely at the Department of Defense and DOE Budget Request and really have not been released in detail, summaries were released yesterday.



So, I would like to assure you that we continue to monitor this and along with the Yucca Mountain work that we have to be looking at, the Agency and the AG's Office, we have a lot of work to do.

**Governor:** Let me just follow up, Mr. Halstead, I want to make sure the record is clear. I have been assured that there is no chance that there will be any commencement or recommencement of testing of nuclear weapons out there at the test site. That was a story that I woke up to and was immediately on the phone. Again, having several conversations with individuals in Washington and I have received absolutely 100% confirmation that there will be no testing of that kind. I want to make sure that it is clear on the record for everybody to understand, although that article may imply otherwise.

All right, questions from other Members? Madam Secretary of State.

**Secretary of State:** Thank you Governor and I do want to thank you and the AG's Office for your fight over the years. In the late 90s, there were several legislators that we went around and talked to different groups about some of the issues for Nevada. It is a topic that I have followed closely and I just want to thank you for your service and what you are doing.

As I travel around the State, I have met and talked to a lot of downwinders and their families and have been really surprised over the years how far that reached and how far it went. It is something that Nevadans are still talking about. It is still a big issue and I just wanted to thank all of you for what you are doing. I am very supportive.

**Governor:** Thank you Madam Secretary. Mr. Attorney General, do you have any comments?

**Attorney General:** Yes Governor, thank you. First, I do want to thank you for your leadership on this issue, especially pushing for this to make sure it is in the budget and prioritizing and I want to thank the Legislature for providing this funding today. As Mr. Trutanich mentioned, we have made a few adjustments, internally in our office to buttress the team from the Attorney General's perspective. I think working with Mr. Halstead and the Agency of Nuclear Projects, as well as your office and Egan Fitzpatrick that you know; we have the best legal and technical team this State could ask for right now. I certainly submit, as you do that we are ready for this fight. If this process continues, we will be prepared to litigate this, as long and as hard as we need to make sure that this does not get restarted in the State of Nevada. Thank you, Governor.

**Governor:** Thank you Mr. Attorney General. Mr. Trutanich, Mr. Halstead, any concluding remarks?

**Robert Halstead:** No, just thank you to you and it is also important for us to always thank the Legislature for providing the resources that they provided and also for their passage of Assembly Joint Resolution 10 which was a very strong statement, a bipartisan statement of the Legislature's opposition to the Yucca Mountain project as well. Thank you.

**Governor:** Thank you. Mr. Trutanich?

**Nick Trutanich:** Governor, I just wanted to thank you for your leadership on this issue and thank Mr. Halstead and his agency for being a great partner in this fight.

**Governor:** We are all in this together, thank you. We will move to a little bit lighter subject on Contract #7 please.

**Chris Chimits:** Good morning Governor and Members of the Board. My name is Chris Chimits, Interim Administrator for the State Public Works Division. This contract, if I may give a little context around it before we get to the contract.

University of Nevada, Reno (UNR) approached Public Works to get the design started for the UNR Engineering project, under an Agency agreement. It was a \$3 million agency agreement that we did. In that process, Public Works hired an architectural firm and a Construction Manager-at-Risk (CMAR). We got through schematic design, design development and 25% of the way through construction documents under that agency agreement.

So, this contract that is before you today picks up where that effort left off. We have expended those funds and we have completed that work and now we are just carrying forward into the CIP Project, 17C06, which this contract, keeping the same architectural firm, the same construction management firm but simply picking up the effort with the CIP Project to complete the construction documents and get bidding completed and the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) with this CMAR contract.

That is the purpose of this contract to just pick up where the agency project left off and get us to a GMP.

**Governor:** I appreciate that update. I asked for this to come forward because it is an important project for the University of Nevada, Reno. What is the schedule in terms of construction and completion?

**Chris Chimits:** Chris Chimits for the record. We have got the design developments coming in just a week or so from now for final review. The construction documents will be due in the middle of April. Then we plan on bidding this project at the end of June. Then we will start construction right after the 4<sup>th</sup> of July, on that project, the substantial completion, if we do not have any problems at all with that project, will be complete by the end of July 2020.

**Governor:** It takes two years to build?

**Chris Chimits:** Yes sir.

**Governor:** What was the total cost of the project?

**Chris Chimits:** The construction right now is at \$76.5 million.

**Governor:** Thank you. That is actually sooner than I thought. I have no further questions. Are there questions from Board Members? I hear none. We will move to contract #14.

**Chris Chimits:** Chris Chimits for the record. This is a \$247,000 contract with Gallagher and Associates. They are an Exhibit Designer out of the Bay Area. They are here to complete the design for all the exhibits that will be used in the Cultural Center, Building No. 1 at Stewart. The schedule on that is that we are also planning on bidding that this summer, middle of June 2018 and anticipated completion date of around March 2019. That is paralleling an effort that we are doing with an architectural firm here in town for the remodeling of the building as well. They will dovetail together, at that point, when the construction is complete then the exhibits will be installed.

**Governor:** So, hopefully in a year, we will have a museum at Stewart Indian School.

**Chris Chimits:** A year and a month.

**Governor:** A year and a month. I love how precise you are. I am really excited about that project so that is the reason why I asked this to come forward because it is obviously very important to the Stewart Indian School and it is very important to our Native American community. So, thank you.

**Chris Chimits:** You are welcome.

**Governor:** All right, let us move to contract #23, DHHS, Juvenile Justice and the National Council Juvenile and Family Court Judges. Ms. Wooldridge, good morning.

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Good morning, Kelly Wooldridge for the record, Administrator for the Division of Child and Family Services. I am happy to present this contract this morning. This contract is pursuant to AB 472 which was our Juvenile Justice Reform Bill. It is awarded to the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges.

This contract is to develop and implement an evidence-based resource center for services to juvenile justice professionals and stakeholders. The contract will be implement in four phases and hopefully the Resource Center will be up and running within the next year. The other thing that this contract will do for us is make sure that the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission's five-year strategic plan includes performance-based standards that will just continue to improve outcomes for our kids and families.

**Governor:** Thank you Ms. Wooldridge and just to go a little deeper, I think I remember the number off the top of my head and we spend \$90 million plus a year in State money on these types of services, yet we are not sure which ones work and which ones do not?

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Kelly Wooldridge again for the record. You are correct, it was in 2015, we found we spent almost \$95 million across the State; however, we did not have anything showing us that we had really any outcomes either good or negative. This will definitely enhance those services.

**Governor:** And, this contract is already underway, isn't it?

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Kelly Wooldridge, again, for the record. The National Council has not started work on the contract yet but the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission has already started with what we will need to do to completely implement this.

**Governor:** I guess this is more of a comment than a question, but this is a really important contract because we have thousands of young men and women who are in our system, yet we do not know if the services that we are providing are working. So, in the future, this really could change the dynamic in young men and women's lives forever. I appreciate your hard work on this because this is one of those under the radar type projects that really could be transformative for justice in our state. Thank you very much for your efforts.

Are there any other questions or comments from Board Members on this contract?

**Attorney General:** Yes Governor, I have a question. So, when I pulled this initially, I was hoping for a little information on how the Resource Center will be constructed and what will go into it. I think now I understand that this is really the precursor to that and I just want to make sure I am understanding that correctly. This is meant to go out and kind of figure out how we are going to build this, is that correct?

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Kelly Wooldridge, for the record. Yes, that is correct. This contract will implement an evidence-based resource center in four phases and actually the final phase is sustainability. We will have to find a way to sustain this contract. The National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges will also be providing technical assistance and training about evidence-based services to our juvenile justice employees and stakeholders, across the State.

**Attorney General:** Okay. Then, I will have to follow-up on that. So, this contract will actually lay out the entire program? I guess what I am getting at is, I would like to know what this Resource Center is going to actually look like and what will go into it. Is it too early for you to be able to describe that?

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Kelly Wooldridge for the record. I am not sure where it will be placed. I am assuming it will be placed in Reno at the National Council but I am not at a stage where I can be really precise about what it will look like yet.

**Attorney General:** Okay well, I am going to support this contract, but I would love if someone could follow back up with me when there are greater details. I would like to know how this is actually going to be stood up and how it will perform for the State.

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Kelly Wooldridge, for the record. I am happy to do that.

**Attorney General:** Okay, thank you.

**Governor:** Perhaps it'd be helpful, Ms. Wooldridge, this contract did not just come out of Department of Health and Human Services, it is the result of a very thoughtful process from the Juvenile Justice Commission which is comprised of Juvenile Judges, the Head of Juvenile Services in Clark County and Washoe County, one of the rural counties and then the other experts like Justice Saitta.

**Kelly Wooldridge:** Kelly Wooldridge, for the record. Yes, there are evidence-based resource centers across the country that can model for us how to do this, so I am happy to send that information as well.

**Attorney General:** Thank you.

**Governor:** I believe that is all we had. Board Members, any other contracts you wanted to consider? If there are no further questions, the Chair will accept a motion to approve Contracts 1-34 as presented in agenda item number 6.

**Secretary of State:** Move for approval.

**Governor:** Secretary of State has moved for approval, is there a second?

**Attorney General:** I second.

**Governor:** Seconded by the Attorney General. Are there any questions or discussion on the motion? I hear and see none. That motion passes 3-0.

## **7. Approval of Proposed Master Service Agreements** (For possible action)

**Governor:** We will move on to agenda item number 7 which are Master Service Agreements. Mr. Wells.

**Clerk:** Thank you Governor. There is one Master Service Agreement in agenda item 7 for approval by the Board this morning and no additional information was requested by any of the Members.

**Governor:** Thank you Mr. Wells. I have no questions. Is there a motion?

**Secretary of State:** Move for approval.

**Governor:** Secretary of State has moved for approval, is there a second?

**Attorney General:** I second.

**Governor:** Seconded by the Attorney General. Are there any questions or discussion on the motion? I hear and see none. That motion passes 3-0.

## **8. Information Item – Clerk of the Board Contracts**

Pursuant to NRS 333.700, the Clerk of the Board may approve all contract transactions for amounts less than \$50,000. Per direction from the August 13, 2013 meeting of the Board of Examiners, the Board wished to receive an informational item listing all approvals applicable to the new threshold (\$10,000 - \$49,999). Attached is a list of all applicable approvals for contracts and amendments approved from December 19, 2017 through January 22, 2018.

**Governor:** We will move on to agenda item number 8, Information Item. Mr. Wells.

**Clerk:** Thank you Governor. There were 48 contracts under the \$50,000 threshold approved by the Clerk between December 19, 2017 and January 22, 2018. This item is informational only, and no additional information has been requested by any of the members.

**Governor:** Any questions from Board Members on agenda item number 8? I hear and see none.

## **9. Information Item – Reports**

### **Department of Motor Vehicles – Certification of Complete Streets Program Contributions**

Pursuant to NRS 482.1825, Subsection 2, the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) shall certify to the State Board of Examiners the amount of the voluntary contributions collected for each county by the department and its agents, and that the money has been distributed as provided in statute. This report is for the period beginning October 1, 2017 and ending December 31, 2017.

**Governor:** We will move on to agenda item number 9, Information Item, by DMV. Mr. Wells.

**Clerk:** Thank you Governor. Agenda item 9 is an information report from the Department of Motor Vehicles on the voluntary contributions collected by County pursuant to NRS 482.480, the Complete Streets Program. This report is for the period of October 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017. The report is pretty self-explanatory. Contributions are roughly flat, going up about 3% year over year and vary from quarter-to-quarter based on registration activity.

**Governor:** Any questions, Board Members, with regard to agenda item number 9? I hear and see none.

**10. Public Comment** (No action may be taken upon a matter raised under public comment period unless the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an action item)

**Governor:** We will move to agenda item number 10, Public Comment. Is there any member of the public present in Carson City that would like to provide a comment? I hear and see none. Madam Secretary, did you have a comment?

**Secretary of State:** Yes. If I could, Governor, I would like to put on the agenda for our next meeting, the update of the Grant Sawyer Building by Public Works. Thank you.

**Governor:** You are welcome. Is there any public comment from Las Vegas?

**Attorney General:** I see none, Governor.

**Governor:** There is no public comment from Las Vegas.

**11. Adjournment** (For possible action)

**Governor:** Agenda item number 11, is there a motion to adjourn?

**Secretary of State:** Move for adjournment.

**Attorney General:** I second.

**Governor:** The Secretary of State has moved to adjourn. The Attorney General has seconded the motion, this meeting is adjourned.